

EVALUATION OF NORTH-EAST SPECIAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT SCHEME: ASSESSING PROGRESS AND IMPACT

Submitted to





MINISTRY OF DEVELOPMENT OF NORTH EASTERN REGION

Government of India







JANUARY 20, 2025

Dr APJ Abdul Kalam Centre for Policy Research and Analysis

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT SHILLONG

Umsawli, Shillong – 793018 East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya, India



EVALUATION OF THE NORTH-EAST SPECIAL INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT SCHEME

Submitted to Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region



JANUARY 20, 2025 INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT SHILLONG Umsawli, Shillong – 793018 East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya, India

Contents

| List of tables | 3 |
|--|----|
| Acknowledgement | 4 |
| Executive Summary | 5 |
| Chapter 1: North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS) | 6 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 1.2 Background | 6 |
| 1.3 Snippets of Projects Sanctioned under NESIDS | 9 |
| State wise project allocation | 9 |
| 1.4 Objectives of the Study | 11 |
| Present Status of NESIDS | 12 |
| 1. Details of Completed Projects | 12 |
| 2. Details of Ongoing Projects | 14 |
| Chapter 2: Research Methodology | 17 |
| 2.1 Shortlisting of Projects: | 17 |
| 2.2 Tools Used for Evaluation: | 21 |
| 2.3 Assigning Scores: | 22 |
| Chapter 3: Analysis of Projects | 24 |
| 3.1 Assessment of Ongoing Projects | 24 |
| 3.2 Scores of Select Projects for study | 26 |
| INFERENCE | 33 |
| Chapter 4: Discussion | 35 |
| 4.1 Review of NESIDS Scheme based on the finding of the Study | 35 |
| Chapter 4: Summary of Findings | 37 |
| Strengths of NESIDS | 37 |
| Chapter 5 Recommendations | 40 |

List of tables

| Table 1Details of projects sanction state wise and sector wise | 9 |
|--|----|
| Table 2 Project Category: | 10 |
| Table 3 Details of completed projects in terms of numbers of state wise | 12 |
| Table 4 Details of funds allocated state wise and sector wise for completed projects | 12 |
| Table 5 Number of Projects allocated State wise and Sector wise | 14 |
| Table 6 Details of fund allocated statewise and sector wise | 14 |
| Table 7 Quantitative Assessment and Fund Utilisation pattern of ongoing Projects | 15 |
| Table 8 Snippets of the selected project for study | 17 |
| Table 8 Heatmap color codes | 22 |
| Table 9 Criteria Weightage | 22 |
| Table 10 Score range | 23 |

Acknowledgement

The research team extends its heartfelt gratitude to the Secretary, Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region (MDoNER), the Secretary, North Eastern Council (NEC), and other senior officers of MDoNER and NEC for placing their trust in us and assigning this significant task of evaluating the **North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS).

This study has been a transformative experience, deepening our understanding of the diverse dimensions of development initiatives and the unique challenges and opportunities in the Northeast region. We are deeply appreciative of the invaluable support, guidance, and information shared by the officials of MDoNER and NEC, which have been critical to the success of this evaluation.

We are also profoundly grateful to Prof. D.P. Goyal, Director of IIM Shillong, for his encouragement and for granting us the opportunity to undertake this important study.

To all who contributed to this effort, we extend our sincere thanks for their collaboration and insights, which have enriched this evaluation and its findings.

Prof Atul Mehta

Assistant Professor, Economics & Public Policy IIM Shillong **Dr Sanjeev Kumar Ningombam** Centre Head Dr APJ Abdul Kalam Centre for Policy Research and Analysis IIM Shillong **Dr Nilanjana Das** Research Associate Dr APJ Abdul Kalam Centre for Policy Research and Analysis IIM Shillong

Executive Summary

The North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS) was initiated to address critical gaps in physical and social infrastructure in the North Eastern Region (NER) of India. This evaluation study conducted on selected 42 projects assesses the impact of selected NESIDS projects on regional development, sectoral growth, and alignment with the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The projects were analyzed across parameters including short-term and long-term benefits, cross-sectoral impacts, SDG alignment, and their overall weighted performance.

The evaluation methodology for NESIDS is based on a Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) framework that incorporates weighted scoring to assess the impact and effectiveness of projects. This systematic approach evaluates projects against four critical dimensions:

- Short-Term Effects: Immediate benefits to the target region and stakeholders.
- Long-Term Effects: Sustainability and continued outcomes of the project.
- Multi-Sectoral Impact: Broader influence across multiple sectors, such as trade, education, and healthcare.
- Alignment with SDGs: Contribution to achieving relevant SDG targets.

The NESIDS scheme has proven to be a critical enabler of infrastructure-led development in the Northeast, fostering economic growth, reducing inequalities, and improving the quality of life for millions. While there is room for improved SDG alignment and enhanced cross-sectoral integration, the overall performance of the scheme underscores its potential to transform the developmental landscape of the region. Continued investment, strategic planning, and effective execution will ensure that NESIDS achieves its long-term vision of inclusive and sustainable development in the Northeastern states.

Chapter 1: North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS)

1.1 Introduction

The North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS) was launched by the Government of India on December 15, 2017, as a Central Sector Scheme designed to bridge critical infrastructure gaps in the North Eastern Region. With 100% central funding, NESIDS supports state governments in enhancing physical infrastructure across key sectors, including water supply, power, connectivity, tourism, and social infrastructure in education and health. The scheme was implemented over the period from 2017-18 to 2019-20, with funds disbursed in two instalments—40% initially and the remaining 60% upon demonstrated physical and financial progress.

1.2 Background

NLCPR: The Predecessor to NESIDS

Before the inception of NESIDS, the Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region (DoNER) sanctioned projects under the Non-Lapsable Central Pool of Resources (NLCPR) scheme. Initiated in 1998 and transferred to DoNER in 2001, NLCPR aimed to bridge infrastructure deficits through state-prioritized projects, with funding shared on a 90:10 basis between the Central and State Governments.

Key updates to NLCPR guidelines in 2016 aimed at enhancing efficiency included:

- 1. Streamlining project approval and funding processes.
- 2. Reducing the number of instalments to two (40% and 60%).
- 3. Initiating token fund releases of ₹10 lakh.

Over its operational tenure, NLCPR funded 1,643 projects with a cumulative outlay of ₹16,366.57 crore. With the launch of NESIDS in 2017, no new projects were sanctioned under NLCPR, though ongoing projects continued receiving funds until March 2020 to ensure completion.

Transition to NESIDS

NESIDS marked a paradigm shift in infrastructure development for the region. Unlike NLCPR, it offers streamlined, fully funded support by the Central Government without requiring any contribution from the State Governments. The scheme focuses on:

Developing physical infrastructure for tourism enhancement.

Strengthening social infrastructure in health and education sectors.

Since its inception, NESIDS has approved 22 projects with a cumulative cost of ₹885.44 crore across the eight North Eastern States.

Institutional and Administrative Arrangements

National Level

An Inter-Ministerial Committee (IMC), headed by the Secretary of the Ministry of DoNER, oversees the scheme's implementation. The IMC includes representatives from key ministries and NITI Aayog:

1. Secretary, Ministry of DoNER (Chair).

2. Chief Executive Officer, NITI Aayog, or representative (Joint Secretary rank or above).

3. Expenditure Secretary or representative.

4. Home Secretary or representative.

5. Foreign Secretary or representative.

6. Financial Adviser, Ministry of DoNER.

7. Joint Secretary in-charge of NESIDS, Ministry of DoNER.

Functions of IMC:

Ensure equitable distribution of funds among NE States, considering inter-state and intra-state disparities.

Assess project proposals for viability and socio-economic impact.

Review the progress of NESIDS and ongoing NLCPR projects.

Recommend unviable projects for closure.

State Level

Each State Government must constitute a State Level Empowered Committee (SLEC), chaired by the Chief Secretary, with members from planning, finance, and other relevant departments. Representatives from the Ministry of DoNER and line ministries of the Government of India are also included. SLEC is responsible for:

Vetting and recommending Detailed Project Reports (DPRs).

Ensuring technical and economic appraisals through reputed institutions such as IITs and NITs.

Obtaining necessary regulatory clearances.

Project Formulation and Identification

States are required to submit a concept note detailing their infrastructure strategy and an indicative list of proposed projects. Key guidelines for project formulation include:

1. Minimum project cost of ₹20 crore.

2. Exclusion of costs for land acquisition and staff components.

3. Maintenance costs to be borne by the State Governments.

4. Allocation of 25% of projects for backward areas.

The identified projects are reviewed by the NESIDS Committee, which makes funding recommendations. Upon approval by the Minister-in-charge of DoNER, States prepare DPRs, ensuring alignment with the original project scope.

Implementation and Fund Disbursement

Sanction Process:

1. SLEC recommendations are submitted to the Ministry of DoNER.

2. The NESIDS Committee reviews and forwards recommendations for Ministerial approval.

 Administrative and financial sanctions are issued, along with a token amount of ₹10 lakh for initiating tender formalities.

4. States must award contracts within six months of sanction.

Fund Disbursement:

1. Funds are released in two instalments:

First Instalment: 40% of the project cost, less the token amount.

Second Instalment:60% of the project cost, upon submission of utilization certificates for 75% of the first instalment and evidence of physical progress.

2. Disbursement conditions include submission of quarterly progress reports, photographs, and inspection reports.

3. Cost overruns are to be borne by the States.

Monitoring and Evaluation

State Governments are responsible for setting up robust monitoring mechanisms, conducting periodic inspections, and ensuring timely project completion. Additionally, the Ministry of DoNER may conduct field inspections and commission independent evaluations.

Transparency and Publicity

To enhance transparency, States must:

1. Publicize projects widely in local media.

2. Install notice boards at project sites with key project details, including funding sources and timelines.

3. Erect permanent displays post-completion, acknowledging NESIDS support.

1.3 Snippets of Projects Sanctioned under NESIDS

State wise project allocation

Till today 98 Projects worth Rs 4851.52 got sanctioned under NESIDS-Roads

| State/Region | Number of Projects | Total Cost (₹ Crore) |
|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Arunachal Pradesh | 22 | 646.703 |

Table 1Details of projects sanction state wise and sector wise

| State/Region | Number of Projects | Total Cost (₹ Crore) |
|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Assam | 19 | 1379.36 |
| Meghalaya | 7 | 458.97 |
| Manipur | 8 | 375.5202 |
| Mizoram | 7 | 209.44 |
| Nagaland | 17 | 899.6646 |
| Tripura | 7 | 347.8013 |
| Sikkim | 8 | 320.09 |
| Multi-State | 3 | 213.97 |
| Total | 98 | 4851.52 |

Table 2 Project Category:

| Category | Number of Projects | Total Cost (₹ Crore) |
|------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Roads and Bridges | 99 | 1648.739 |
| Transport and Communications | 49 | 3202.78 |
| Total | 148 | 4851.52 |

1.4 Objectives of the Study

1. To highlight the anticipated short-term and long-term transformative impacts

of the scheme across sectors, showcasing its contributions to infrastructure development, social progress, and economic empowerment in the North Eastern Region (NER), while aligning with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

2. To evaluate the anticipated socio-economic benefits of the initiative.

3. **To present strategic insights and forward-looking recommendations** for strengthening the initiative's impact and ensuring its long-term sustainability.

Present Status of NESIDS

1. Details of Completed Projects

Table 3 Details of completed projects in terms of numbers of state wise

Details of completed projects in terms of numbers state wise and sector wise

| Completed Projects | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| States | Roads & bridges | Transport & Communication | Total no. of projects statewise |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8 | 2 | 10 |
| Assam | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Manipur | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Meghalaya | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Mizoram | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Nagaland | 6 | 1 | 7 |
| Sikkim | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Tripura | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Multi State | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| Total no. of projects sectorwise | 26 | 15 | 41 |

Table 4 Details of funds allocated state wise and sector wise for completed projects

| Heatmap for Fund Sanctioned for Completed Projects Statewise and Sector wise (in Cr.) | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| States | Roads & bridges | Transport & Communication | Total funds sanctioned statewise |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 174.663 | 79.79 | 254.453 |
| Assam | 487.66 | 148.02 | 635.68 |
| Manipur | 0 | 118.2 | 118.2 |
| Meghalaya | 15.48 | 0 | 15.48 |
| Mizoram | 5.6 | 29.26 | 34.86 |
| Nagaland | 120.7946 | 62.2 | 182.9946 |
| Sikkim | 79.41 | 69.13 | 148.54 |
| Tripura | 43.9413 | 0 | 43.9413 |
| Multi State | 0 | 213.97 | 213.97 |
| Total fund sanctioned sectorwise (Amt. in Cr.) | 927.5489 | 720.57 | 1648.1189 |

Sectoral Distribution of Projects:

- Roads & Bridges dominate the completed projects with 26 projects, while Transport & Communication has 15 projects.
- The highest number of completed projects are in Arunachal Pradesh and Assam (10 each), followed by Nagaland (7) and Sikkim (5).
- Manipur has only one completed project, while Multi-State initiatives account for three projects in Transport & Communication.

Fund Allocation Trends:

- Assam has the highest total fund allocation (₹635.68 Cr.), followed by Arunachal Pradesh (₹254.453 Cr.) and Nagaland (₹182.99 Cr.).
- Manipur has a significant allocation of ₹118.2 Cr., despite having only one completed project, indicating a high-cost project in the Transport & Communication sector.
- Multi-State projects received ₹213.97 Cr., all allocated to Transport & Communication.

State-Specific Insights:

- Arunachal Pradesh and Assam show balanced investments across both sectors.
- Meghalaya has minimal funding and projects, highlighting possible scope for further development.
- Sikkim received ₹148.54 Cr., with more focus on Transport & Communication than Roads & Bridges.
- Tripura's projects are limited to Roads & Bridges, with no investment in Transport & Communication.

Overall Observations:

The total sanctioned amount is ₹1648.1189 Cr., with 56.3% allocated to Roads & Bridges and 43.7% to Transport & Communication.

2. Details of Ongoing Projects

Table 5 Number of Projects allocated State wise and Sector wise

| Ongoing Projects State-wise and sector wise | | | |
|---|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| States | Roads & Bridges | Transport & Communication | Total no. of projects statewise |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| Assam | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Manipur | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| Meghalaya | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Mizoram | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Nagaland | 3 | 7 | 10 |
| Sikkim | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Tripura | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| Total no. of projects sectorwise | 23 | 34 | 57 |

Table 6 Details of fund allocated statewise and sector wise

| Heatmap for Fund sanctioned for ongoing Projects State-wise and sector wise (in Cr.) | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| States | Roads & Bridges | Transport & | Total funds |
| | | Communication | sanctioned statewise |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 165.72 | 226.53 | 392.25 |
| Assam | 96.57 | 647.11 | 743.68 |
| Manipur | 45.8 | 211.52 | 257.32 |
| Meghalaya | 132.84 | 310.65 | 443.49 |
| Mizoram | 47.01 | 127.57 | 174.58 |
| Nagaland | 93.92 | 622.75 | 716.67 |
| Sikkim | 88.54 | 83.01 | 171.55 |
| Tripura | 50.79 | 253.07 | 303.86 |
| Total fund | 721.19 | 2482.21 | 3203.4 |
| sanctioned | | | |
| sectorwise (Amt. in | | | |
| Cr.) | | | |

| Quantitativ | ve Assessment of ongo | ing projects of NESII | DS |
|---|-----------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Row Labels | Roads & bridges | Transport & Communication | Grand Total |
| Arunachal Pradesh | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 165.72 | 226.53 | 392.25 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 113.7254 | 52.01 | 165.7354 |
| U.C. Received | 78.4032 | 0 | 78.4032 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 31.37 | 77.04 | 57.75 |
| Assam | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 96.57 | 647.11 | 743.68 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 71.6698 | 216.51 | 288.1798 |
| U.C. Received | 46.17 | 44 | 90.17 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 25.78 | 92.87 | 61.25 |
| Manipur | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 45.8 | 211.52 | 257.32 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 38.28 | 38.45 | 76.73 |
| U.C. Received | 32.42 | 13.33 | 45.75 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 16.42 | 81.82 | 70.18 |
| Meghalaya | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 132.84 | 310.65 | 443.49 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 89.4 | 248.16 | 337.56 |
| U.C. Received | 57.76 | 182.4 | 240.16 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 32.70 | 20.12 | 23.89 |
| Mizoram | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 47.01 | 127.57 | 174.58 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 44.69 | 76.14 | 120.83 |
| U.C. Received | 38.29 | 29.87 | 68.16 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 4.94 | 40.32 | 30.79 |
| Nagaland | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 93.92 | 622.75 | 716.67 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 56.26 | 382.9 | 439.16 |
| U.C. Received | 32.54 | 380.79 | 413.33 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 40.10 | 38.51 | 38.72 |

Table 7 Quantitative Assessment and Fund Utilisation pattern of ongoing Projects

| Sikkim | | | |
|---|-------|--------|--------|
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 88.54 | 83.01 | 171.55 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 64.42 | 23.4 | 87.82 |
| U.C. Received | 64.42 | 0 | 64.42 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 27.24 | 71.81 | 48.81 |
| Tripura | | | |
| Approved Cost (₹ in Cr.) | 50.79 | 253.07 | 303.86 |
| Total Financial Expenditure (₹ in Cr.) | 42.99 | 137.17 | 180.16 |
| U.C. Received | 29.18 | 24.25 | 53.43 |
| % of balance O/S exp. wrt. approved cost | 15.36 | 45.80 | 40.71 |

Chapter 2: Research Methodology

2.1 Shortlisting of Projects:

The project shortlisting process aims to identify high-impact projects across multiple sectors such as Agri & allied, Education, Health, I&FC, Miscellaneous, Power, Roads & bridges, Tourism & Culture and Water Supply. The selection is based on their alignment with regional development priorities, socio-economic benefits, and sustainability under the NESIDS.

The shortlisting of select projects have been carried out using the following criteria:

- Alignment with NESIDS objectives and regional development goals
- Sectoral priority as per state government
- Contribution to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
- Project completion status (Completed vs. Ongoing)

| Table O Calman | - 6 + 1 1 + | and the state of the state of the |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| iable & Shippets | of the selected | project for study |

| Sr.No | State | Sector All Roads & Bridges Transport and Communication | Project Name | Sanctioned Date | Appr oved Cost (₹ in Cr.) |
|-------|-----------------------|---|---|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Construction of road from Longkhow to Pumau in Longding District (SH: FC, culverts,RW, bridge) | 03-09-2019 | 19.98 |
| 2 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Construction of RCC Bridge No. 3/1 on the road from NH- 39 Silonijan State Dispensary to Dhansiri Par Ghat via Panika Basti (including approach), Assam. | 20-04-2022 | 20.59 |
| 3 | MEGHALAY A | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of Mawsmai- Shella Road from km8/00 (Laittyra village)upto km15/500 (Kynrem falls) in Meghalaya | 03-08-2019 | 15.48 |
| 4 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Upgradation and improvement of Saiha- Lungbun-Tluangram-Haka | 29-01-2021 | 50.21 |

| | | | Road (Lungbun to R.Kolodyne | | |
|----|----------|--------------------------------|---|------------|---------|
| | | | Mizoram) | | |
| 5 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | C/o New Road from Chowkikhola to Tarapung via Borpung in Assam (L : 50.52KM) | 30-07-2024 | 202.9 |
| 6 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | Improvement and Upgradation of road from Rajagaon to Dalimbari in West Karbi Anglong District in Assam (L-24.72KM) | 30-07-2024 | 80.73 |
| 7 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | C/o of RCC Bridge at Kenseng Ashang Khullen(Kaka) over Maklang River Connecting international border | 09-06-2024 | 12.16 |
| 8 | SIKKIM | Transport and Communication | Widening and Upgradation of single lane road from Sangkhola to Zingla under Gangtok District (L-16.37) | 19-08-2024 | 63.19 |
| 9 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | Improvement of road from Bishnupur Chingdong to Khuman via Prengba Village, Loukiopat, Bishnupur, Awwangleikai, Maning Leikai, and Makha Leikai in Manipur | 09-09-2024 | 11.18 |
| 10 | MANIPUR | Roads & Bridges | Development of Road Connectivity from Khabam Lamkhai to Hannaching Heingang via Marjing Polo Complex, Heingang Ching, Imphal East for promoting Tourism | 12-10-2018 | 25.4802 |
| 11 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement & Widening of Lokopriya Gopinath Bordoloi Road, SH-3 B from Ch.0.00 Km to Ch. 18.65 Km, Assam under NESIDS for the year 2021-22. | 20-04-2022 | 79.13 |
| 12 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | C/O Road from Jalukie (NH- 129A) to New DC Complex model township Peren | 23-10-2024 | 22.26 |
| 13 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from Old Peren to New Peren (DC Office)via Chalkot junction (L- 16.50KM) | 11-05-2024 | 47.06 |
| 14 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Upgradation of Zote- Farthlang-Bunali-Tuipui- Tawnzang Road | 29-01-2021 | 29.26 |

| | | | | | T |
|----|--|--------------------------------|---|------------|---------|
| 15 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement and Upgradation of Lawngtlai to Tuipui Ferry Road, Lawgtlai District in Mizoram | 03-08-2019 | 27.42 |
| 16 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Project Construction of road from Kodum CO Hqr to Bam Tri-junction 16.50 Km under West Siang District in Arunachal Pradesh | 20-04-2022 | 19.6 |
| 17 | MEGHALAY Roads & Bridges Improvement including A Phulbari Tura Road (Portion from 67/600 to 100/200 Km 32.60 Kms) at an estimated cost of Rs. 66.54 crores | | 19-04-2022 | 52.87 | |
| 18 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from PWD Road to Peki Modi | 01-12-2024 | 69.35 |
| 19 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of road from Kiphire to Tourist Destination at Zungki River, Kiphire District in Nagaland | 30-08-2019 | 20.4432 |
| 20 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Widening & Improvement of Bhadoi Panch Ali to Joypur Khonsa Road via Tipom, Assam | 20-04-2022 | 57.99 |
| 21 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | Development & improvement of Jagun Namchik Miao road (Assam portion) including Br.No. 9/1 over river Namchik in Tinsukia District | 30-01-2020 | 24.89 |
| 22 | MANIPUR | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of Moirang Sendra Road upto Sendra Hillock and Road from Moirang Sendra Road to Omba Hillock and Keina Bazar on Moirang Khunou to Thanga Keibul Road-8.50 km for promoting Tourism Bishnupur in Manipur | 29-07-2019 | 20.32 |
| 23 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Mirem-Mikong-Jonai Road | 28-02-2020 | 36.22 |
| 24 | MEGHALAY A | Transport and Communication | Mawshynrut-Hahim-Boko Road | 28-01-2021 | 99.76 |
| 25 | MULTI STATE | Transport and Communication | Wokha Merapani Road | 24-02-2016 | 151.01 |

| 26 | MEGHALAY A | Transport and Communication | Upgradation/Improvement of Borkhat-Sonapur road | 14-03-2022 | 126.88 |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---|------------|--------|
| 27 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Daido Vawngkawt Road | 01-12-2024 | 33.19 |
| 28 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Project Construction of road from Marung Gika to Panior Bridge Point via Sarchgai, mate, Kusuk & Pan-21 km in Papum Pare District in Arunachal Pradesh | 20-04-2022 | 20 |
| 29 | SIKKIM | Transport and Communication | Construction of 50Mtr Steel Bridge along with 875M approach road over River Kalej Khola at Rangsang Dowan in West Sikkim | 17-01-2020 | 8.03 |
| 30 | SIKKIM | Roads & Bridges | Construction of two lane balanced cantilever bridge over river Kanaka at Kayum Dzongu in North Sikkim | 09-12-2018 | 88.54 |
| 31 | TRIPURA | Transport and Communication | Improvement of KA Road from Erarpar to Ambassa Road(LO-28.90 km) in the State of Tripura | 16-10-2023 | 94.39 |
| 32 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | Rehabilitation and upgradation of Kamjong to Kongkan Thana via Pilong | 08-05-2019 | |
| 33 | TRIPURA | Transport and Communication | Construction of road from Debendra Choudhury Para (Belcherra) to Chankala Bazar under Padmabil R.D. Block, Tripura | 14-03-2024 | 40.38 |
| 34 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Component I of Development of Immediately Required Infrastructure for Tourism Development at Reiek and Reiek Tlang in Mizoram under NESIDS | 17-12-2019 | 5.6 |
| 35 | TRIPURA | Roads & Bridges | Improvement of Tourist spot connectivity from Amarpur (Fatiksagar) leading to Chabimura and having access to eco-Tourism centre | 06-07-2019 | 22.12 |
| 36 | MEGHALAY A | Roads & Bridges | Construction including MBT of Pynursla-Latangriwan road towards Mawlynnong | 03-08-2019 | 29.97 |

| | | | l . | 1 | |
|----|-----------------------|--------------------------------|--|------------|-------|
| 37 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of road and bridge from NH-29 at 7th Mile Model Vilage to Siethekema C tourist destination onwards to Governors Camp from Ch.0.00 to 10.5 kms | 10-07-2019 | 32.89 |
| 38 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Construction and up gradation of Glory Peak Tourist Road under Phek District | 10-05-2022 | 32.5 |
| 39 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | Upgradation of road from Chendang Saddle to Noklak (Length - 17.13 Km) | 24-03-2021 | 62.2 |
| 40 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement & Up-gradation of difference at Lengpui Airport ,Mizoram | 03-03-2021 | 19.59 |
| 41 | ARUNACHA L PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from Bana EAC HQ via Old Sopung, Chijang Kajing Village East Kameng District in Arunachal Pradesh | 30-11-2023 | 64.95 |
| 42 | SIKKIM | Roads & Bridges | Widening and Improvement of road from Namchi to Birdhang via Kitchdumra are connecting the West District to South District in Sikkim | 19-04-2022 | 64.64 |

2.2 Tools Used for Evaluation:

The sector-wise and state-wise scoring system is designed to assess infrastructure projects based on their short-term impact, long-term impact, multi-sectoral effect, and alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The methodology ensures a standardized, data-driven, and objective evaluation of projects to determine their priority and impact.

A heatmap is a data visualization technique that uses color gradients to represent the magnitude of values in a dataset. The objective of using a heatmap for this assessment is to:

- Identify patterns in financial expenditures across different sectors and states.
- Highlight discrepancies in fund utilization and outstanding balances.
- Facilitate comparative analysis across sectors and states.
- Provide insights for decision-making on fund allocation and policy adjustments.

The heatmap colors are assigned based on fund utilization patterns, with the following scale:

Table 9Heatmap color codes

| Color | Meaning |
|--------|---|
| Green | Low outstanding balance \rightarrow Good financial performance |
| Yellow | Moderate outstanding balance \rightarrow Acceptable financial performance |
| Orange | High outstanding balance \rightarrow Concerning financial performance |
| Red | Very high outstanding balance \rightarrow Critical financial inefficiency |

Source: Compiled by authors

2.3 Assigning Scores:

Each project is evaluated using the following criteria, with scores assigned on a **scale** of 1-25 per criterion to reach a total composite score of 100.

| Criteria | Weight | Description |
|----------------|--------|--|
| | (%) | |
| Short-Term | 25% | Immediate benefits and quick impact on the targeted |
| Effect | | community. |
| Long-Term | 25% | Sustainability and future benefits of the project. |
| Effect | | |
| Multi-Sectoral | 25% | How the project contributes to multiple sectors (e.g., |
| Effect | | roads aiding tourism, health, and tourism). |
| SDGs | 25% | Contribution to UN Sustainable Development Goals |
| Addressed | | (SDGs). |

Table 10Criteria Weightage

Source: Compiled by authors

Each project's total composite score is calculated as:

Total Score = \sum (Score for each criterion)

Projects are categorized as Completed, or Ongoing to track implementation status.

• **Completed Projects:** Evaluated for their post-implementation impact.

• **Ongoing Projects:** Monitored for real-time progress and potential course correction.

Each project is categorized under a sector (e.g., Water Supply, Education, Health, Power, etc.). The scores are analyzed to determine:

- **Sectoral Prioritization:** Sectors with consistently high-scoring projects indicate high-impact areas and are prioritized for funding and expansion.
- **Sectoral Performance:** Sectors with low average scores indicate gaps, requiring policy interventions or capacity-building efforts.

Table 11Score range

| Score | Project Priority & Action Plan |
|----------|---|
| Range | |
| Above 70 | High-priority project with proven impact. Recommend |
| | replication/expansion. |
| 50-70 | Strong project; monitor for long-term impact and scalability. |
| Below 50 | Moderate impact; requires adjustments in execution and |
| | sustainability planning. |

Source: Compiled by authors

Chapter 3: Analysis of Projects

3.1 Assessment of Ongoing Projects

- Project Distribution: Out of the total 57 ongoing projects includes 34 projects in all states and 23 projects under the head Roads & Bridges projects.
- Highest Number of Ongoing Projects: Arunachal Pradesh (12) and Nagaland (10) have the highest number of ongoing projects, highlighting their infrastructural development needs.
- Fund Allocation Trends:

The total fund sanctioned for ongoing projects amounts to ₹3,203.4 crore, with a notable skew toward Transport & Communication (₹2,482.21 crore) over Roads & Bridges (₹721.19 crore). Assam received the highest allocation (₹743.68 Cr.), followed by Nagaland (₹716.67 Cr.) and Arunachal Pradesh (₹392.25 Cr.).

Transport & Communication projects received significantly more funding (₹2482.21 Cr.) than Roads & Bridges (₹721.19 Cr.), reinforcing the priority on digital and communication infrastructure. Transport & Communication projects in Assam (₹647.11 crore) and Nagaland (₹622.75 crore) have received the highest funding, reflecting a focused effort to improve connectivity and logistics.

- Sector-wise Allocation: States like Meghalaya (₹443.49 Cr.) and Tripura (₹303.86 Cr.) have substantial ongoing projects in Transport & Communication, indicating a targeted approach towards improving connectivity in these regions.
- State -wise Allocation: States like Assam and Nagaland together account for approximately 46% of the total funding allocated for ongoing projects, showcasing their importance in the regional development strategy. States like Sikkim and Mizoram, receiving relatively lower funding, may need further interventions or policy support to accelerate infrastructure development.
- The ongoing NESIDS projects emphasize a strategic push towards improving transport and communication infrastructure across the Northeast, with significant financial support allocated to enhance regional connectivity and development.



3.2 Scores of Select Projects for study

| Sr.No | State | Sector | Project Name | Short Term Effect (25) | Long Term Effect (25) | Multi sectoral Effect (25) | SDGs addressed (25) | Total Composite Score (100) |
|-------|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Construction of road from Longkhow to Pumau in Longding District (SH: FC, culverts,RW, bridge) | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 82 |
| 2 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Construction of RCC Bridge No. 3/1 on the road from NH- 39 Silonijan State Dispensary to Dhansiri Par Ghat via Panika Basti (including approach), Assam. | 20 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 82 |
| 3 | MEGHALAYA | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of Mawsmai- Shella Road from km8/00 (Laittyra village)upto km15/500 (Kynrem falls) in Meghalaya | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 76 |
| 4 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Upgradation and improvement of Saiha-Lungbun-Tluangram- Haka Road (Lungbun to R.Kolodyne Mizoram) | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 76 |
| 5 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | C/o New Road from Chowkikhola to Tarapung via Borpung in Assam (L : 50.52KM) | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |

| 6 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | Improvement and Upgradation of road from Rajagaon to Dalimbari in West Karbi Anglong District in Assam (L- 24.72KM) | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
|----|---------|--------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 7 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | C/o of RCC Bridge at Kenseng Ashang Khullen(Kaka) over Maklang River Connecting international border | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 81 |
| 8 | SIKKIM | Transport and Communication | Widening and Upgradation of single lane road from Sangkhola to Zingla under Gangtok District (L-16.37) | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 9 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | Improvement of road from Bishnupur Chingdong to Khuman via Prengba Village, Loukiopat, Bishnupur, Awwangleikai, Maning Leikai, and Makha Leikai in Manipur | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 10 | MANIPUR | Roads & Bridges | Development of Road Connectivity from Khabam Lamkhai to Hannaching Heingang via Marjing Polo Complex, Heingang Ching, Imphal East for promoting Tourism | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |

| 11 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement & Widening of Lokopriya Gopinath Bordoloi Road, SH-3 B from Ch.0.00 Km to Ch. 18.65 Km, Assam under NESIDS for the year | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 74 |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 12 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | 2021-22. C/O Road from Jalukie (NH- 129A) to New DC Complex model township Peren | 17 | 17 | 17 | 20 | 71 |
| 13 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from Old Peren to New Peren (DC Office)via Chalkot junction (L- 16.50KM) | 20 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 79 |
| 14 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Upgradation of Zote- Farthlang-Bunali-Tuipui- Tawnzang Road | 18 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 72 |
| 15 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement and Upgradation of Lawngtlai to Tuipui Ferry Road, Lawgtlai District in Mizoram | 18 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 72 |
| 16 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Project Construction of road from Kodum CO Hqr to Bam Tri-junction 16.50 Km under West Siang District in Arunachal Pradesh | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
| 17 | MEGHALAYA | Roads & Bridges | Improvement including widening of Agia Medhipara Phulbari Tura Road (Portion from 67/600 to 100/200 Km 32.60 Kms) at an estimated cost of Rs. 66.54 crores | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |

| 18 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from PWD Road to Peki Modi | 19 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 77 |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 19 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of road from Kiphire to Tourist Destination at Zungki River, Kiphire District in Nagaland | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 20 | ASSAM | Roads & Bridges | Widening & Improvement of Bhadoi Panch Ali to Joypur Khonsa Road via Tipom, Assam | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 21 | ASSAM | Transport and Communication | Development & improvement of Jagun Namchik Miao road (Assam portion) including Br.No. 9/1 over river Namchik in Tinsukia District | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 22 | MANIPUR | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of Moirang Sendra Road upto Sendra Hillock and Road from Moirang Sendra Road to Omba Hillock and Keina Bazar on Moirang Khunou to Thanga Keibul Road-8.50 km for promoting Tourism Bishnupur in Manipur | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
| 23 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Mirem-Mikong-Jonai Road | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 76 |
| 24 | MEGHALAYA | Transport and Communication | Mawshynrut-Hahim-Boko Road | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 76 |
| 25 | MULTI STATE | Transport and Communication | Wokha Merapani Road | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |

| 26 | MEGHALAYA | Transport and Communication | Upgradation/Improvement of Borkhat-Sonapur road | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 76 |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------|---|----|----|----|----|----|
| 27 | MIZORAM | Transport and Communication | Daido Vawngkawt Road | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 76 |
| 28 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Roads & Bridges | Project Construction of road from Marung Gika to Panior Bridge Point via Sarchgai, mate, Kusuk & Pan-21 km in Papum Pare District in Arunachal Pradesh | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
| 29 | SIKKIM | Transport and Communication | Construction of 50Mtr Steel Bridge along with 875M approach road over River Kalej Khola at Rangsang Dowan in West Sikkim | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |
| 30 | SIKKIM | Roads & Bridges | Construction of two lane balanced cantilever bridge over river Kanaka at Kayum Dzongu in North Sikkim | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 80 |
| 31 | TRIPURA | Transport and Communication | Improvement of KA Road from Erarpar to Ambassa Road(LO- 28.90 km) in the State of Tripura | 18 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 76 |
| 32 | MANIPUR | Transport and Communication | Rehabilitation and upgradation of Kamjong to Kongkan Thana via Pilong | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
| 33 | TRIPURA | Transport and Communication | Construction of road from Debendra Choudhury Para (Belcherra) to Chankala Bazar under Padmabil R.D. Block, Tripura | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |

| 34 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Component I of Development of Immediately Required Infrastructure for Tourism Development at Reiek and Reiek Tlang in Mizoram under NESIDS | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 78 |
|----|-----------|--------------------------------|--|----|----|----|----|----|
| 35 | TRIPURA | Roads & Bridges | Improvement of Tourist spot connectivity from Amarpur (Fatiksagar) leading to Chabimura and having access to eco- Tourism centre | 20 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 78 |
| 36 | MEGHALAYA | Roads & Bridges | Construction including MBT of Pynursla-Latangriwan road towards Mawlynnong | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 78 |
| 37 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Upgradation of road and bridge from NH-29 at 7th Mile Model Vilage to Siethekema C tourist destination onwards to Governors Camp from Ch.0.00 to 10.5 kms | 16 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 70 |
| 38 | NAGALAND | Roads & Bridges | Construction and up gradation of Glory Peak Tourist Road under Phek District | 16 | 16 | 19 | 20 | 71 |
| 39 | NAGALAND | Transport and Communication | Upgradation of road from Chendang Saddle to Noklak (Length - 17.13 Km) | 16 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 70 |
| 40 | MIZORAM | Roads & Bridges | Improvement & Up-gradation of difference at Lengpui Airport ,Mizoram | 19 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 79 |

| 41 | ARUNACHAL PRADESH | Transport and Communication | Construction of Road from Bana EAC HQ via Old Sopung, Chijang Kajing Village East Kameng District in Arunachal Pradesh | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 80 |
|----|----------------------|--------------------------------|--|----|----|----|----|----|
| 42 | SIKKIM | Roads & Bridges | Widening and Improvement of road from Namchi to Birdhang via Kitchdumra are connecting the West District to South District in Sikkim | 18 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 75 |

INFERENCE

The data analysis of select projects NESIDS highlights key patterns in project impact, sectoral focus, and alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The projects have been evaluated based on Short-Term Effects, Long-Term Effects, Multi-Sectoral Effects, and SDG Alignment, resulting in a Composite Score out of 100.

1. High Potential Impact Projects (Above 45 Total Score)

- All 42 projects fall within the High Potential Impact category, as their total scores range between 70 and 82 (well above the threshold of 45).
- This indicates that all selected projects under NESIDS have significant potential for short-term and long-term benefits, multi-sectoral impact, and alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).
- The highest-scoring projects (82) in Arunachal Pradesh and Assam reinforce the strategic importance of road and bridge development in these states for economic growth and regional connectivity.

2. Distribution of Scores Within High Potential Impact

- Top Tier (80-82) Projects: Found in Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur, and Sikkim, indicating strong strategic planning and execution in infrastructure development.
- Mid Tier (76-79) Projects: Common in Meghalaya, Mizoram, Tripura, and multistate projects, reflecting robust, but slightly less transformative impact compared to top-tier projects.
- Lower Tier (70-75) Projects: Primarily in Nagaland and some Mizoram projects, suggesting that while impactful, these projects may require additional planning to maximize long-term benefits and multi-sectoral linkages.

3. State-Level Insights

 Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, and Manipur dominate the highest-scoring projects, reinforcing their strategic focus on road infrastructure for economic integration and mobility enhancement. Nagaland has most of its projects scoring between 70-72, indicating comparatively lower multi-sectoral impact and SDG alignment, requiring better integration with economic and social development goals.

4. Sectoral Observations

- Roads & Bridges projects generally score higher than Transport & Communication projects, suggesting their stronger immediate and long-term benefits.
- Transport & Communication projects, particularly in Meghalaya, Mizoram, and Tripura, perform well in SDG alignment, reflecting a push towards sustainable connectivity solutions.

5. Policy Implications

- States with lower-scoring projects (Nagaland, Mizoram) may adopt best practices from high-scoring states (Arunachal Pradesh, Assam, Manipur) to improve future project impact.
- More emphasis on multi-sectoral integration and sustainable development goals can further enhance the effectiveness of NESIDS investments.
- Replicating the success of high-scoring projects across states will help ensure balanced infrastructure development in the Northeast region.

Chapter 4: Discussion

4.1 Review of NESIDS Scheme based on the finding of the Study

The North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS) is a flagship initiative aimed at enhancing infrastructure in the North Eastern Region (NER) of India. It focuses on sectors like Roads & Bridges and Transport & Communication to strengthen connectivity and boost economic growth.

Key Findings from Data Analysis

1. Project Allocation and Fund Distribution

- A total of 57 ongoing projects are distributed across eight North Eastern states.
- The projects are categorized into two major sectors: Roads & Bridges: 23 projects and Transport & Communication: 34 projects
- The total sanctioned fund for ongoing projects stands at ₹3,203.4 crore, with:
 ₹721.19 crore allocated for Roads & Bridges and ₹2,482.21 crore for Transport
 & Communication
- A total 41 projects worth Rs 1648.1189 have been completed
- 2. State-Wise Observations
 - Assam (₹743.68 Cr) and Nagaland (₹716.67 Cr) received the highest funding, reflecting their strategic importance in regional connectivity.
 - Manipur (₹257.32 Cr), Mizoram (₹174.58 Cr), and Sikkim (₹171.55 Cr) received comparatively lower allocations, indicating the need for more focused infrastructure development.
 - Arunachal Pradesh, Meghalaya, and Tripura secured moderate levels of funding, ensuring balanced development.

3. Project Impact Assessment

- Based on composite scoring, all analyzed projects fall under the High Potential Impact category (total scores above 45), signifying:
- Strong short-term and long-term benefits in terms of economic growth and regional integration.

- Significant multi-sectoral effects, indicating that NESIDS projects contribute beyond immediate infrastructure needs, impacting tourism, trade, and socioeconomic development.
- Alignment with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), ensuring environmentally and socially responsible infrastructure growth.
- 4. Sectoral Insights
 - Roads & Bridges projects generally scored higher than Transport & Communication projects, emphasizing the immediate necessity of road connectivity in remote areas.
 - Transport & Communication projects performed well in SDG alignment, highlighting sustainable connectivity solutions.

Chapter 4: Summary of Findings

Strengths of NESIDS

- Strategic Infrastructure Development: The scheme effectively addresses connectivity gaps, particularly in remote areas.
- High Impact Projects: All projects analyzed have substantial potential to contribute to economic growth and sustainable development.
- Balanced State-Wise Distribution: While some states received higher allocations, funding is distributed across all states, ensuring regional parity.
- Alignment with National Goals: Projects complement national infrastructure initiatives and promote regional economic integration.
- The North East Special Infrastructure Development Scheme (NESIDS) has emerged as a crucial initiative in addressing the unique infrastructure challenges faced by the North Eastern region of India. By funding projects that are not covered under other central government schemes, NESIDS has played a significant role in improving connectivity, enhancing economic opportunities, and fostering regional development.
- NESIDS has been instrumental in filling critical gaps in infrastructure development, especially in remote and underdeveloped regions of the North East. Many of these areas have historically faced challenges in securing funding for essential projects, and NESIDS has stepped in to bridge this gap.
- The scheme has facilitated the construction of roads and bridges that connect isolated communities, providing access to essential services such as markets, healthcare facilities, and educational institutions.
- In states like Arunachal Pradesh, Manipur, and Nagaland, NESIDS has supported road-building efforts in challenging mountainous terrains, significantly improving intra-state connectivity.
- The enhanced infrastructure has reduced travel time, enabled smoother movement of people and goods, and strengthened economic linkages across districts and neighboring states.

Boosting Economic Growth Through Improved Connectivity

- Improving infrastructure has had a direct impact on economic development in the region. NESIDS-funded projects have contributed to boosting tourism, increasing trade opportunities, and enabling better transportation of goods and services.
- In Assam and Meghalaya, roads constructed under NESIDS have facilitated greater trade and commerce, benefiting local industries and small businesses.
- The development of roads and bridges has opened up remote regions for tourism, encouraging visitors and supporting local entrepreneurs in the hospitality sector.
- In Mizoram, NESIDS projects have focused on strengthening connectivity to markets, making it easier for farmers and small-scale industries to transport their produce and products.

Enhancing Security and Strategic Infrastructure

- NESIDS has played a crucial role in bolstering security in strategically important border areas. Infrastructure development has improved access for security personnel and enhanced the mobility of defense forces.
- Roads constructed in border districts of Arunachal Pradesh and Manipur have strengthened logistical support for security forces, ensuring better preparedness in strategically sensitive areas.
- Enhanced transportation networks have contributed to the socio-economic integration of border communities, reducing their isolation and promoting stability.

Promoting Tourism Through Infrastructure Development

The North East region is known for its breath-taking landscapes and cultural heritage, and NESIDS has played a key role in facilitating tourism development.

- The scheme has supported the construction of access roads, parking facilities, and other necessary amenities at popular tourist destinations.
- Improved road connectivity in states like Meghalaya, Tripura, and Mizoram has made it easier for tourists to explore offbeat locations, leading to increased footfall and economic benefits for local communities.

 Infrastructure development in and around eco-tourism sites has helped in promoting sustainable tourism, benefiting both the environment and the economy.

Addressing Unique Regional Challenges

NESIDS is designed to cater to the specific needs of the North Eastern region, considering its geographical, climatic, and socio-economic conditions.

- By providing funding flexibility, the scheme has enabled states to prioritize projects that have the highest impact on regional development.
- The initiative has ensured that even the most challenging terrains receive infrastructural support, thereby reducing regional disparities in development.

Chapter 5 Recommendations

- 1. Strengthen Administrative Processes
 - Streamline Mechanisms: Simplify project approval and implementation procedures, fund utilisation, submission of Utilisation Certificate seamless to reduce delays.
 - Phased Disbursement Models: Introduce incremental funding mechanisms based on measurable progress to address funding delays.
 - Performance-Based Incentives: Reward states and agencies that demonstrate efficient fund utilization and timely project execution.
- 2. Enhance Monitoring and Evaluation
 - Real-Time Tracking: Deploy digital tools like Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and project management software for real-time progress tracking.
 - Third-Party Audits: Engage independent agencies to conduct regular audits and ensure transparency and accountability.
 - Socio-Economic Impact Assessment: Establish a framework to evaluate the socio-economic benefits of projects, focusing on connectivity, healthcare, education, and tourism improvements.
- 3. Address Geographic and Logistical Barriers
 - Pre-Project Planning: Develop comprehensive plans to mitigate logistical challenges in remote areas, including temporary access infrastructure.
 - Local Resource Utilization: Encourage the use of locally available materials and technologies to minimize transportation costs and delays.
 - Dedicated Task Force: Form a task force comprising representatives from the Ministry of Development of North Eastern Region (DoNER), state governments, and local implementing agencies.
- 4. Foster Community and Stakeholder Engagement
 - Local Involvement: Actively involve local communities in project planning and implementation to ensure alignment with their needs and reduce resistance.
 - Skill Development: Initiate training programs for local workers to create a skilled workforce for infrastructure projects.

- 5. Ensure Environmental and Social Compliance
 - Sustainable Practices: Promote environmentally sustainable and climateresilient construction techniques to protect fragile ecosystems.
 - Community Impact Assessment: Ensure social compliance by addressing land acquisition challenges and prioritizing the well-being of affected communities.

6. Focus on Long-Term Sustainability

- Maintenance Funds: Allocate dedicated resources for the upkeep and maintenance of completed projects.
- Sustainability Plans: Integrate long-term maintenance strategies into project designs to ensure durability and continued benefits.

7. Leverage Technology and Innovation

- Smart Solutions: Incorporate technology-driven initiatives such as smart grids, e-learning platforms, and telemedicine facilities into infrastructure designs.
- Geospatial Tools: Use advanced mapping and data analytics tools for better planning and implementation.

8. Explore Innovative Financing Models (for project sustainability)

- Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs): Encourage private sector participation to complement government funding and accelerate project execution.
- Blended Finance: Explore diverse funding sources, including international grants, loans, and private investments, for critical projects.

9. Improve SDG Alignment

- Multi-Sectoral Integration: Align NESIDS projects with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to ensure a broader impact on health, education, economic growth, and environmental sustainability.
- Collaborative Planning: Work closely with stakeholders across sectors to integrate SDG priorities into project objectives.